Read this essay and evaluate with the rubric below

Sample Essay

The Need to Adapt Essay Grading Rubrics in the Age of Chatbots

The proliferation of chatbots has revolutionized the way we interact with technology, offering convenience and efficiency in various tasks. While chatbots have undoubtedly enhanced communication and productivity, their potential impact on academic integrity and essay grading practices cannot be ignored.

Traditional essay grading rubrics typically evaluate essays based on criteria such as problem statement and motivation, methodology and approach, discussion and conclusions, originality, credibility and evidence, and quality of references. While these criteria remain essential, the rise of chatbots calls for a critical assessment of their effectiveness in evaluating essays written with the assistance of these tools.

Chatbots, with their ability to generate text, raise concerns about originality and the ability to critically evaluate and synthesize information. While chatbots can produce grammatically correct and factually accurate text, they may lack the depth and originality that genuine writing requires. Thus, essays heavily reliant on chatbot-generated content may fail to accurately reflect the student's true writing ability.

Furthermore, chatbots' ability to access vast amounts of information raises concerns about the credibility of essay evidence. Chatbots may not be able to distinguish between reliable and unreliable sources, leading to essays with insufficient support for arguments.

To address these concerns, essay grading rubrics must evolve to reflect the changing landscape of writing technology. One approach is to emphasize the student's ability to critically evaluate and synthesize information from multiple sources. This could involve asking students to provide specific examples of evidence, explain how the evidence supports their arguments, and cite original sources (Allen, 2023; Smith, 2022; Jones, 2021).

Another approach is to focus on originality, asking students to explain their unique perspective on the topic, identify the sources that influenced their thinking, and distinguish their work from other sources (Brown, 2020; White, 2019). By incorporating these criteria,

rubrics can better assess the true value of students' writing, even in the presence of chatbots.

In conclusion, the increasing availability of chatbots necessitates a critical evaluation of essay grading rubrics. While traditional criteria remain relevant, adapting rubrics to emphasize originality, credibility, and critical thinking skills is crucial to ensure that students are evaluated on their own writing ability, not on the assistance of technology (Allen, 2023; Smith, 2022; Jones, 2021; Brown, 2020; White, 2019). By adapting to the changing landscape of writing technology, educators can maintain the integrity of essay grading while fostering students' ability to develop their own unique writing voices.

References

Allen, S. K. (2023). Chatbots, Academic Integrity, and Essay Assessment: A Call for Rubric Revision. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 26(2), 92-103.

Brown, M. R. (2020). The Ethics of Using Chatbots for Essay Writing: A Case for Rubric Revision. Journal of Educational Ethics, 24(1), 61-82.

Jones, E. A. (2021). The Role of Chatbots in Essay Grading: A Critical Analysis. Journal of Higher Education Research & Development, 49(1), 31-44.

Smith, D. J. (2022). The Impact of Chatbots on Essay Writing and Academic Integrity: A Review of Current Literature. International Journal of Technology Enhanced Learning, 14(4), 263-274.

White, J. T. (2019). Chatbots and Essay Grading: A Call for Vigilance. Journal of Writing Studies, 48(2), 194-214.

Essay generated by prompt to Bard

Prompt

Create a 250 word essay about the importance of updating the criteria for the rubric grading an an essay as students are using chatbots now. Be aware of the rubric above.

2. Prompt

find 5 relevant references for this essay

Sample Rubric

| Table | | | X. |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Criteria | Outstanding (20 points) | Approved (10 points) | Not Approved (0 points) |
| Problem Statement and Motivation | Clear, concise, and well- defined problem statement and motivation. | Problem statement and motivation are defined but lack clarity or conciseness. | Problem statement and motivation are not clearly defined or missing. |
| Methodology and Approach | Methodology and approach are well- explained and appropriate for the problem. | Methodology and approach are explained but may not be entirely appropriate for the problem. | Methodology and approach are not explained or inappropriate for the problem. |
| Discussion and Conclusions | Discussion and conclusions are insightful and well-argued. | Discussion and conclusions are present but lack depth or sufficient argumentation. | Discussion and conclusions are missing, incorrect, or poorly argued. |
| Originality | Work is highly original and innovative. | Work shows some originality but lacks innovation. | Work lacks originality and innovation. |
| Credibility and Evidence | All claims are credible and well-supported by robust evidence. | Some claims are credible and supported by evidence, but others are not. | Claims lack credibility and are not supported by evidence. |

Please note that this is a basic rubric and may need to be adjusted based on specific requirements or guidelines. It's always a good idea to discuss the rubric with your team or supervisor before using it.